arXiv:hep-th/0106020v4 14 Jun 2002

BRX-TH-492
HUTP-01/A028
BOW-PH-122
`hep-th/0106020`

$1/N$ corrections to anomalies and the AdS/CFT correspondence for orientifolded $\mathcal{N} = 2$ orbifold and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ conifold models

Stephen G. Naculich[1,a], Howard J. Schnitzer [2,3,b,c], and Niclas Wyllard[4,b]

[a] *Department of Physics*
Bowdoin College, Brunswick, ME 04011

[b,3] *Martin Fisher School of Physics*
Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454

[c] *Lyman Laboratory of Physics*
Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138

Abstract

We show that for a large class of $d = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal field theories the $1/N$ correction to the chiral anomaly of the U(1) R-current can be shown to arise from the D7-branes present in the dual orientifolded orbifold string theories, generalizing a result in the literature for the simplest case. We also study the $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ anomaly for $d = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 1$ conformal field theories that arise from orientifolds of the conifold. We find agreement between the field- and string-theoretic calculations, confirming a prediction of the AdS/CFT correspondence at order $1/N$ for string theories on $AdS_5 \times T^{11}/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

---

[1] Research supported in part by the NSF under grant no. PHY94-07194 through the ITP Scholars Program.

[2] Research supported in part by the DOE under grant DE–FG02–92ER40706.

[3] Permanent address.

[4] Research supported by the DOE under grant DE–FG02–92ER40706.

`naculich@bowdoin.edu; schnitzer,wyllard@brandeis.edu`

1 Introduction

There exists considerable evidence supporting the AdS/CFT correspondence [1] in the limit of large N [2], but the $1/N$ corrections to the leading large-N results are still rather poorly understood. Corrections of order $1/N^2$ are difficult to study, as they involve string loop corrections in backgrounds with RR fields turned on. Therefore it might be useful to examine theories where corrections enter at order $1/N$, which is the topic of this paper (related discussions have appeared in [3, 4, 5, 6]).

One such case was analyzed in ref. [4]. In that paper, it was observed that for the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theory with gauge group $\mathrm{Sp}(2N)$ and matter hypermultiplets in the antisymmetric and fundamental representations, the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ anomaly has a subleading $1/N$ correction.[5] On the string theory side, the corresponding anomalous term in the action was shown to arise from the O7-plane and D7-branes present in the model.

In this paper we extend this result to a wide class of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ models. In each case, we find that the $1/N$ correction to the anomaly arises from the D7-branes and O7-planes present in the dual string theory. We also consider models without D7-branes and O7-planes, for which the $1/N$ correction is shown to be absent, as is required for the consistency of the proposal.

In section 2 we describe several classes of type IIB backgrounds, obtained as orientifolds of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ orbifolds, and the associated $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theories arising on D3-branes in these backgrounds. In the large-N limit, these field theories are dual to type IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5/G$, where G is the orientifold group. We calculate the anomaly for the R-current from both the field- and string-theoretic perspectives, and find agreement at order $1/N$.

In section 3 we carry out a similar analysis for IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times T^{11}/\mathbb{Z}_2$, where $T^{11} = [\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)]/\mathrm{U}(1)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ denotes an orientifold group. We propose a particular orientifold of the conifold, with an O7-plane and D7-branes; D3-branes at the conifold singularity gives rise to an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $\mathrm{Sp}(2N) \times \mathrm{Sp}(2N)$ superconformal field theory. The anomaly of the R-current is calculated, with agreement between the field- and string-theoretic descriptions at order $1/N$. We also examine a closely related $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $\mathrm{Sp}(2N) \times \mathrm{SO}(2N+2)$ model, for which D7-branes are absent. The $1/N$ correction to the anomaly vanishes, as required for consistency.

In section 4 we summarize our findings, and two appendices are devoted to technical matters.

2 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ orientifolded orbifold models

2.1 Description of the models

The $d = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theories we will be studying arise as the theories on a stack of D3-branes in certain type IIB orientifold backgrounds. These field theories are dual, via the AdS/CFT correspondence, to string theory in the background $AdS_5 \times S^5/G$, where G is the orientifold group (see [2] and references therein). The D3-brane field theories have been constructed in [9] and we will use the notations of that paper. In the T-dual type IIA picture [10], these models arise from the field theories on D4-branes in elliptic models that contain two O6-planes and k NS5-branes.

---

[5] The leading order term vanishes [7, 8].

The field theories fall into two categories. The first type arises, on the type IIA side, from the D4-branes in elliptic models with two O6$^-$-planes, k NS5-branes, and in addition 4 D6-branes plus their mirrors, parallel to the orientifold planes. Via T-duality the D4-branes turn into D3-branes, the D6-branes turn into D7-branes, the O6$^-$-planes turn into an O7-plane, and the k NS5-branes dualize into a $\mathbb{Z}_k$ orbifold singularity. The D3-branes span the directions 0123 and we will label the 456789 directions transverse to the D3-branes by three complex coordinates, (z_3, z_1, z_2). The orientifold group for these theories has the form $G = G_{\text{orb}} \times G_{\text{ori}}$. The pure orientifold part is $G_{\text{ori}} = \{1, \Omega'\}$, with $\Omega' = \Omega(-1)^{F_L} R_{45}$, where R_{45} reflects the 45 directions, *i.e.* acts as $z_3 \to -z_3$ (which implies that the O7-plane and the D7-branes are located at $z_3 = 0$). The orbifold part of the group is $G_{\text{orb}} = \{1, \theta, \ldots, \theta^{k-1}\}$, where θ acts on the 6789 directions as $(z_1, z_2) \to (e^{2\pi i/k} z_1, e^{-2\pi i/k} z_2)$. The gauge groups of the field theories may be determined either from the placement of the NS5-branes on the IIA side, or via the orientifold projections acting on the D3-branes on the IIB side, and fall into three classes[6] [9]

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\text{(I.i)} & \mathrm{Sp}(v_0) \times \mathrm{SU}(v_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(v_P)\,, & k = 2P+1\,, \\
\text{(I.ii)} & \mathrm{Sp}(v_0) \times \mathrm{SU}(v_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(v_{P-1}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(v_P)\,, & k = 2P\,, \\
\text{(I.iii)} & \mathrm{SU}(v_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(v_P)\,, & k = 2P\,,
\end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

and the matter content is (in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ language)

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{(I.i)} & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{j=1}^{P} (\square_{j-1}, \square_j) + \begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix}_P + \tfrac{1}{2} w_0 \square_0 + \bigoplus_{j=1}^{P} w_j \square_j\,, \\
\text{(I.ii)} & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{j=1}^{P} (\square_{j-1}, \square_j) + \tfrac{1}{2} w_0 \square_0 + \bigoplus_{j=1}^{P-1} w_j \square_j + \tfrac{1}{2} w_P \square_P\,, \\
\text{(I.iii)} & \displaystyle\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix}_1 + \bigoplus_{j=2}^{P} (\square_{j-1}, \square_j) + \begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix}_P + \bigoplus_{j=1}^{P} w_j \square_j\,.
\end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

In the above formulæ the w_j's are non-negative integers related to the placement of the D6-branes, and are constrained by the equation $\sum_j w_j = 8$, where the index runs over k values (although the indexing varies among the different cases). The v_j are related to the numbers of D4/D3-branes, and obey constraints (see appendix A) arising from the vanishing of the beta-function(s) of the field theory. The AdS/CFT correspondence involves the large N limit, where N is the total number of D3-branes. In appendix A we show that, to leading order in N, the v_j's corresponding to each of the group factors are equal. We will denote the common value by v, which is of order N.

---

[6] In our conventions the defining representation of Sp(v) is v-dimensional; in particular Sp(2) $\cong$ SU(2).

The second type of model involves, on the type IIA side, one O6$^+$ orientifold plane and one O6$^-$ plane, but no D6-branes, which implies the absence of D7-branes in the corresponding type IIB models. The form of the orientifold group for these models can be found in [9]; it will not be needed for our purposes (for these models there are no orientifold planes associated with the orientifold group). There are four classes of such models, with gauge groups [9]

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\mathrm{II.i}) & \mathrm{Sp}(v_0)\times\mathrm{SU}(v_1)\times\cdots\times\mathrm{SU}(v_P)\,, & k=2P+1\,,\\ (\mathrm{II.i}') & \mathrm{SO}(v_0)\times\mathrm{SU}(v_1)\times\cdots\times\mathrm{SU}(v_P)\,, & k=2P+1\,,\\ (\mathrm{II.ii}) & \mathrm{SO}(v_0)\times\mathrm{SU}(v_1)\times\cdots\times\mathrm{SU}(v_{P-1})\times\mathrm{Sp}(v_P)\,, & k=2P\,,\\ (\mathrm{II.iii}) & \mathrm{SU}(v_1)\times\cdots\times\mathrm{SU}(v_P)\,, & k=2P\,, \end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

and $\mathcal{N}=2$ matter content

$$\begin{array}{llll} (\mathrm{II.i}) & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{j=1}^{P}(\Box_{j-1},\Box_j)+\Box\!\Box_P\,, & (\mathrm{II.i}') & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{j=1}^{P}(\Box_{j-1},\Box_j)+{\textstyle\begin{smallmatrix}\Box\\ \Box\end{smallmatrix}}_P\,,\\ (\mathrm{II.ii}) & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{j=1}^{P}(\Box_{j-1},\Box_j)\,, & (\mathrm{II.iii}) & {\textstyle\begin{smallmatrix}\Box\\ \Box\end{smallmatrix}}_1+\displaystyle\bigoplus_{j=2}^{P}(\Box_{j-1},\Box_j)+\Box\!\Box_P\,. \end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

Again, the v_j's in each theory are equal to leading order in the large N limit.

All the above field theories have the symmetry group $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L\times\mathrm{SU}(2)_R\times\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$, which is inherited from the SU(4) R-symmetry group of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ theory. The first type of model also has a flavor symmetry arising from the D7-branes; the flavor symmetry group (which in general is not a simple group) is a subgroup of SO(8) for all the models we study.

As mentioned before, the $\mathcal{N}=2$ field theories described above are dual to type IIB string theory on $AdS_5\times S^5/G$. On the string theory side the symmetry group arises from the isometry group of S^5/G. The breaking pattern of SO(6), the isometry group of S^5, induced by G is

$$\mathrm{SO}(6)\to\mathrm{SO}(4)\times\mathrm{U}(1)\cong\mathrm{SU}(2)\times\mathrm{SU}(2)\times\mathrm{U}(1)\,. \tag{2.5}$$

In this equation we have suppressed the action of the discrete groups on the factors on the right hand side. The U(1) factor above is identified with the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ symmetry group of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ field theory, and will play a central role in what follows.

2.2 Field theory analysis

We are interested in the anomaly of the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ current, which can be calculated on the field theory side using standard methods. The assignments of $\mathcal{R}$-charges are as follows[7]: in an $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplet, the scalar field has $\mathcal{R}$-charge $q=2$, whereas the two spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ Weyl fermions have $\mathcal{R}$-charge $q=1$; in an $\mathcal{N}=2$ hypermultiplet, the scalar field has $\mathcal{R}$-charge $q=0$ and the two spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ fields both have $\mathcal{R}$-charge $q=-1$. The $\mathcal{R}$-symmetry current is

$$\mathcal{R}^\mu=\sum_i\left[\tfrac{1}{2}q_i\bar{\psi}_i\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\psi_i-iq_i\bar{\phi}_i\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}{}^\mu\phi_i\right]\,. \tag{2.6}$$

---

[7] These values appear naturally from the branching rule (2.5).

The anomaly $\langle \partial_\mu(\sqrt{g}\mathcal{R}^\mu)\rangle$ gets a contribution from both the coupling to gravity and to the flavor group.

For the gravitational part, a single Weyl fermion with $\mathcal{R}$-charge q contributes $qR\tilde{R}/384\pi^2$ to the anomaly [11], where $R\tilde{R} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\sigma\tau}R^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}$. Each vector multiplet V_i contains two Weyl fermions of $\mathcal{R}$-charge $+1$ and each hypermultiplet H_j contains two Weyl fermions of $\mathcal{R}$-charge -1 so the total gravitational anomaly is

$$\langle \partial_\mu(\sqrt{g}\mathcal{R}^\mu)\rangle_{\text{grav}} = \frac{Q}{384\pi^2}R\tilde{R} = \frac{2}{384\pi^2}(\sum_i \text{dim } V_i - \sum_j \text{dim } H_j)R\tilde{R}\,. \tag{2.7}$$

In appendix A, we explicitly compute Q for each of the theories described in sec. 2.1. In the large N limit, the gravitational anomaly is at first sight $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$, but the leading order contribution cancels for all the models we study in this paper. The remaining $\mathcal{O}(N)$ contribution for each of the models (I.i) through (I.iii) is given by the universal expression

$$\langle \partial_\mu(\sqrt{g}\mathcal{R}^\mu)\rangle_{\text{grav}} = -\frac{v}{64\pi^2}R\tilde{R}\,, \tag{2.8}$$

where v was defined in sec. 2.1. The $\mathcal{O}(1)$ contribution to the anomaly differs among these models, but will not be relevant for our purposes.

There is also a contribution to the anomaly induced from the triangle diagram constructed from the $\mathcal{R}$-current and two flavor currents. The flavor current is

$$J^a_\mu = \sum_i \left[-\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_i\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma^5)t^a\psi_i + \bar{\phi}_i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu t^a\phi_i\right]\,, \tag{2.9}$$

where the sum runs over those hypermultiplets carrying flavor quantum numbers. As the number of hypermultiplets for each factor of the flavor group is v (to leading order), one finds the anomaly

$$\langle \partial_\mu(\sqrt{g}\mathcal{R}^\mu)\rangle_{\text{flavor}} = \frac{v}{16\pi^2}F\tilde{F}\,, \tag{2.10}$$

where $F\tilde{F} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\text{tr}(F^{\mu\nu}F^{\kappa\lambda})$, and where tr denotes the trace over the representation of the total flavor group into which SO(8) branches. Hence, the total $\text{U}(1)_\mathcal{R}$ anomaly for models (I.i) through (I.iii), correct to $\mathcal{O}(N)$, is

$$\langle \partial_\mu(\sqrt{g}\mathcal{R}^\mu)\rangle_{\text{total}} = -\frac{v}{64\pi^2}(R\tilde{R} - 4F\tilde{F})\,. \tag{2.11}$$

For the $k=1$ model of type (I.i), eq. (2.11) agrees with the result given in ref. [4].

In the second class of models, (II.i) through (II.iii), the gravitational contribution to the $\text{U}(1)_\mathcal{R}$ anomaly is shown in appendix A to vanish at $\mathcal{O}(N)$, and there is no flavor group to contribute to the anomaly.

We will argue, following [4], that in the dual string theory the $\mathcal{O}(N)$ contributions to the $\text{U}(1)_\mathcal{R}$ anomaly arise solely from the presence of O7-planes and D7-branes in the models. This proposal is consistent with the absence of $\mathcal{O}(N)$ contributions to the anomaly for the second class of models (2.3), since they have no D7-branes or orientifold planes.

2.3 Supergravity analysis

We now calculate the $\mathcal{R}$-current anomaly from the dual supergravity theory, closely following the analysis of ref. [4]. We will need the R^2 and F^2 couplings in the Wess-Zumino (a.k.a. Chern-Simons) term in the world-volume action for the D7-branes. These couplings are obtained from the result[8] [12]

$$S_{WZ} = \mu_7 \int C \wedge \sqrt{\hat{A}(4\pi^2\alpha' R)} \mathrm{tr}\, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi\alpha' F}\Big|_8 , \tag{2.12}$$

where $\mu_7 = (2(2\pi)^7\alpha'^4)^{-1}$ is the charge of a single D7-brane [4], $C = \sum C_n$ is the sum over antisymmetric RR form fields, F is the field strength of the non-abelian gauge field on the D7-branes, and the integration picks out the eight form. There is also a contribution from the O7 orientifold planes which are coincident with the D7-branes [13]

$$S_O = \mu_7' \int C \wedge \sqrt{\hat{L}(\pi^2\alpha' R)}\Big|_8 , \tag{2.13}$$

where $\mu_7' = -2^3\mu_7$ [4]. For the eight D7-branes and one O7-plane present in models (I.i) through (I.iii), one extracts from the above expressions the terms relevant to the anomaly [4]

$$\frac{1}{2^9\pi^5\alpha'^2} \int C_4 \wedge \left[\mathrm{tr}(R \wedge R) + 4\,\mathrm{tr}(F \wedge F)\right] , \tag{2.14}$$

using the fact that $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbb{1}) = 8$.

Next, we need to rescale the C_4 field to agree with AdS/CFT conventions. In the usual supergravity convention, the relevant part of the type IIB supergravity action is

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \mathrm{d}^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 5!} g_\mathrm{s}^2 F_5^2\right] . \tag{2.15}$$

The rescaling $g_{\mu\nu} \to L^2 g_{\mu\nu}$ together with $C_4 \to 4L^4 g_\mathrm{s}^{-1} C_4$ puts the action into the usual AdS/CFT form

$$\frac{L^8}{2\kappa^2} \int \mathrm{d}^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - \frac{1}{5 \cdot 3!} F_5^2\right] . \tag{2.16}$$

Here L is the fundamental length scale defined by [8]

$$L^4 = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\kappa N_\mathrm{phys}}{2\mathrm{Vol}(X^5)} , \tag{2.17}$$

where $X^5 = S^5/G$ and N_phys is the number of physical branes. Since $\mathrm{Vol}(X^5) = \mathrm{Vol}(S^5)/2k$, and $N_\mathrm{phys} = N_\mathrm{cover}/2k$, where N_cover is the number of branes on the cover space, we may rewrite this as

$$L^4 = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\kappa N_\mathrm{cover}}{2\mathrm{Vol}(S^5)} . \tag{2.18}$$

---

[8] There is a well known ordering ambiguity for the non-abelian gauge fields; fortunately, for the terms we need this problem does not arise.

In other words, L^4 is the same before and after the projection. The sum over branes and their mirrors $N_{\rm cover} = \sum_j v_j$ is equal to kv to leading order in N, so to leading order in N, we have

$$L^4 = \frac{\kappa k v}{2\pi^{5/2}}\,, \tag{2.19}$$

where $\mathrm{Vol}(S^5) = \pi^3$. Using $2\kappa^2 = (2\pi)^7 g_{\rm s}^2 \alpha'^4$, the rescaling becomes $C_4 \to 16\pi\alpha'^2 kv C_4$, thus changing (2.14) to

$$\frac{kv}{32\pi^4}\int C_4 \wedge [\mathrm{tr}(R\wedge R) + 4\,\mathrm{tr}(F\wedge F)]\,. \tag{2.20}$$

The world volume of the D7-branes is $AdS_5 \times S_3/\mathbb{Z}_k$, where $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k$ is a completely non-singular Lens space; the action of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ on the 6789 coordinates was discussed in sec. 2.1.

We will now reduce the action (2.20) to five dimensions; since we are interested in the massless vector corresponding to the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ symmetry we will only keep this mode when reducing. Massless vectors arise from the $g_{\mu a}$ and $C_{\mu abc}$ components of the metric and four-form [14]. In the expansion of these fields in vector harmonics, only the first level ($j = 1$) contributes; we denote the harmonic at this level appropriate to the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ gauge field as Y_a and write

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu a} &= B_\mu Y_a\,, \\ C_{\mu abc} &= \phi_\mu \sqrt{G}\epsilon_{abc}{}^{de} D_d Y_e\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Using the explicit form of Y_a in ref. [4] and restricting $C_{\mu abc}$ to the D7-brane world-volume, we find

$$C_{\mu abc} = \phi_\mu \omega_{abc}\,, \tag{2.22}$$

where ω_{abc} is the volume form on $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k$.

It was shown in [14] that the linear combination $A_\mu = B_\mu - 16\phi_\mu$ is massless. This is the mode that corresponds to the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ vector field, up to a normalization factor,

$$A_\mu = -24\eta A^{\mathcal{R}}_\mu\,, \tag{2.23}$$

where $A^{\mathcal{R}}_\mu$ is the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ gauge field canonically coupled to the $\mathcal{R}$-current (2.6), and η remains to be determined. (The $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ gauge field is present in all the models we consider, as the orbifold generator does not act on this mode.) Setting the orthogonal combination $V_\mu = B_\mu + 8\phi_\mu$ (which is massive) to zero, we have

$$\phi_\mu = \eta A^{\mathcal{R}}_\mu. \tag{2.24}$$

Using eqs. (2.22) and (2.24) in (2.20), and integrating over $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k$ ($\mathrm{Vol}(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k) = 2\pi^2/k$), we obtain

$$\eta\frac{v}{16\pi^2}\int_{\mathrm{AdS}_5} A^{\mathcal{R}} \wedge [\mathrm{tr}(R\wedge R) + 4\,\mathrm{tr}(F\wedge F)]\,; \tag{2.25}$$

note that the factors of k have cancelled. This expression is not invariant under a $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ gauge transformation $A^{\mathcal{R}} \to A^{\mathcal{R}} + \mathrm{d}\Lambda$, but gives a boundary contribution

$$-\eta\frac{v}{16\pi^2}\int_{M_{3,1}} \Lambda[\mathrm{tr}(R\wedge R) + 4\,\mathrm{tr}(F\wedge F)] = \eta\frac{v}{32\pi^2}\int_{M_{3,1}} \mathrm{d}^4x\sqrt{-g}\,\Lambda(R\tilde{R} - 4\,F\tilde{F})\,. \tag{2.26}$$

This implies that the anomaly is

$$\langle \partial_\mu (\sqrt{g}\mathcal{R}^\mu)\rangle_{\rm total} = -\eta \frac{v}{32\pi^2}(R\tilde{R} - 4\,F\tilde{F})\,. \qquad (2.27)$$

We will now show that this result agrees with the field-theoretic result (2.11).

First, we must verify that the relative factor between the gravitational and flavor contributions agrees for the field and string theory calculations. This appears to be the case from comparison of the formulæ (2.11) and (2.27). However, as was stressed in [4], one needs to check that the generators of the flavor symmetry group have the same normalization in both descriptions. We will apply the line of reasoning in [4] to each factor of the flavor group. We begin by expanding the Born-Infeld action for the D7-branes to second order in the gauge-field:

$$S_{\rm BI} = -\mu_7 \int {\rm d}^8x\, e^{-\Phi}\ {\rm tr}\sqrt{-\det(g+2\pi\alpha' F)} \quad \to \quad \mu_7 (2\pi\alpha')^2 \int {\rm d}^8x \sqrt{-g}\, e^{-\Phi}\,\frac{1}{4}\,{\rm tr}F^2\,. \qquad (2.28)$$

Let the Lie algebra generators T^a appearing in eqs. (2.25) and (2.28) be normalized as ${\rm tr}(T^aT^b) = \lambda\delta^{ab}$. Rescaling the metric $g \to L^2 g$ in eq. (2.28) and dimensionally reducing on $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k$ yields

$$\lambda\mu_7 e^{-\Phi}(2\pi\alpha')^2 L^4 {\rm Vol}(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k)\int {\rm d}^5x \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{-g}\,F^a_{\mu\nu}F^{a\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{4g_{\rm F}^2}\int {\rm d}^5x\sqrt{-g}\,F^a_{\mu\nu}F^{a\mu\nu}\,. \qquad (2.29)$$

Using $\mu_7 e^{-\Phi} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\kappa}(4\pi^2\alpha')^{-2}$ and ${\rm Vol}(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k) = 2\pi^2/k$, together with eq. (2.19), we deduce that, for each subgroup of the flavor group, the associated flavor symmetry coupling constant $1/g_{\rm F}^2$ is equal to $\lambda v/2(2\pi)^2$.

Next, following [4], we determine the normalization of the generators t^a appearing in the field theory current (2.9). The expression for the correlator of two flavor currents (2.9) predicted by the AdS/CFT correspondence is given by [15]

$$\langle J^a_\mu(x)J^b_\nu(0)\rangle = \frac{\delta^{ab}}{2\pi^2 g_{\rm F}^2}(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\frac{1}{x^4} = \frac{v}{(2\pi)^4}\lambda\delta^{ab}(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\frac{1}{x^4}\,. \qquad (2.30)$$

The correlator of two currents (2.9) calculated directly in field theory is

$$\langle J^a_\mu(x)J^b_\nu(0)\rangle = \frac{v}{(2\pi)^4}{\rm tr}(t^at^b)(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\frac{1}{x^4}\,, \qquad (2.31)$$

using the fact that for each factor of the flavor group the number of hypermultiplets is v. Comparing eqs. (2.30) and (2.31), we find that ${\rm tr}(t^at^b) = \lambda\delta^{ab}$. That is, the field theory normalization of generators is the same as the string theory normalization, and so the relative coefficients of the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ anomaly are the same on both sides of the AdS/CFT correspondence.

Next, we will verify that the overall normalization of the anomaly agrees for the field and string theory calculations. Following the approach in [4], we begin with the action for the massless vector A_μ obtained from the KK reduction of the type IIB supergravity action, found in [16],

$$S = \frac{L^8}{2\kappa^2}\int_{X_5} \mathrm{d}^5y\sqrt{G}\,G_{ab}Y^aY^b \int_{AdS_5}\mathrm{d}^5x\sqrt{-g}\frac{1}{3}\left[-\frac{1}{4}F(A)^2\right] \equiv -\frac{1}{4g^2_{\mathrm{SG}}}\int \mathrm{d}^5x\sqrt{-g}F(A^{\mathcal{R}})^2\,, \tag{2.32}$$

where we have rescaled the ten-dimensional metric as $g \to L^2 g$. The factor $\frac{1}{3}$ arises from the $\frac{1}{2}(j+1)/(j+2)$ factor in eq. (3.17) of ref. [16] with $j = 1$, which corresponds to the massless field. Using eqs. (2.19) and (2.23) together with $\int \mathrm{d}^5y\sqrt{G}\,G_{ab}Y^aY^b = \pi^3/24k$, we obtain $1/g^2_{\mathrm{SG}} = \eta^2 k v^2/\pi^2$.

Next we will calculate the 2-point function of the $\mathcal{R}$-current in two ways. First, it can be obtained using the AdS/CFT correspondence [15]

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_\mu(x)\mathcal{R}_\nu(0)\rangle = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 g^2_{\mathrm{SG}}}(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\frac{1}{x^4} = -\frac{\eta^2 k v^2}{2\pi^4}(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\frac{1}{x^4}\,. \tag{2.33}$$

Second, it can be obtained from a purely field-theoretic calculation (*e.g.* using the methods in [17]) with the result[9]

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_\mu(x)\mathcal{R}_\nu(0)\rangle = -\frac{2kv^2}{(2\pi)^4}(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\frac{1}{x^4}\,. \tag{2.34}$$

Comparing eqs. (2.33) and (2.34) we find $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$, which is precisely the value needed for agreement of the field theory and string theory calculations of the anomaly.

3 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ orientifolded conifold models

3.1 Description of the models

All models described in section 2 involve string theory on $AdS_5{\times}X_5$, where X_5 is locally S^5. The simplest known example of a dual pair where X_5 is not locally S^5 is the duality between the field theory on D3-branes at a conifold singularity and type IIB string theory on $AdS_5{\times}T^{11}$ [18].

The conifold can be described by the equation $xy = wz$ in $\mathbb{C}^4$. (Another common way to write the equation is $z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2 = 0$; the two equations are related by a simple linear change of basis.) This equation defines a cone with a singularity at the apex. The base of the cone is obtained by intersecting the above space with $|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1$, and can be shown to be T^{11} [19], which is the coset space $[\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)]/\mathrm{U}(1)$. This coset space is topologically (but not metrically) $S^3{\times}S^2$ and locally $S^2{\times}S^2{\times}S^1$. A useful parameterization of T^{11} is

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \cos\tfrac{1}{2}\theta\cos\tfrac{1}{2}\theta'\mathrm{e}^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\varphi+\varphi')}\,, & y &= \sin\tfrac{1}{2}\theta\sin\tfrac{1}{2}\theta'\mathrm{e}^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi-\varphi-\varphi')}\,,\\ w &= \cos\tfrac{1}{2}\theta\sin\tfrac{1}{2}\theta'\mathrm{e}^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\varphi-\varphi')}\,, & z &= \sin\tfrac{1}{2}\theta\cos\tfrac{1}{2}\theta'\mathrm{e}^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi-\varphi+\varphi')}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

---

[9] Note that the R-charge assignments in [17] are those appropriate for an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory.

with the metric [19]

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \tfrac{1}{9}(\mathrm{d}\psi + \cos\theta \mathrm{d}\varphi + \cos\theta' \mathrm{d}\varphi')^2 + \tfrac{1}{6}(\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \mathrm{d}\varphi^2) + \tfrac{1}{6}(\mathrm{d}\theta'^2 + \sin^2\theta' \mathrm{d}\varphi'^2)\,, \qquad (3.2)$$

where the range of the variables is θ, $\theta' \in [0,\pi)$, φ, $\varphi' \in [0,2\pi)$ and $\psi \in [0,4\pi)$. This metric has determinant

$$\sqrt{G} = \tfrac{1}{108}\sin\theta\sin\theta'\,, \qquad (3.3)$$

yielding $\mathrm{Vol}(T^{11}) = 16\pi^3/27$.

The N D3-branes at the conifold singularity give rise to an $\mathcal{N}=1$ conformal field theory, with gauge group SU(N)×SU(N), matter chiral multiplets in the representations $2(\square,\overline{\square}) \oplus 2(\overline{\square},\square)$, and symmetry group SU(2)×SU(2)×$U(1)_R$, where $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ is the R-symmetry group of the $\mathcal{N}=1$ field theory.

As shown in [18] the conifold model can be obtained by a perturbation of the model corresponding to N D3-branes at a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold singularity, which is dual [20] to type IIB string theory on $AdS_5\times S^5/\mathbb{Z}_2$. On the string theory side, the perturbation is realized as a blow up of $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_2$ to the smooth space T^{11}. On the field theory side, the perturbation corresponds to a renormalization group flow from the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold field theory in the UV to the conifold field theory in the IR. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold field theory is an $\mathcal{N}=2$ conformal field theory with gauge group SU(N)×SU(N), whose matter content (in $\mathcal{N}=1$ language) consists of chiral multiplets in the representations $(\mathrm{adj},1) \oplus (1,\mathrm{adj}) \oplus 2(\square,\overline{\square}) \oplus 2(\overline{\square},\square)$. The RG flow can be realized by integrating out the adjoint matter fields. It has been shown [8] that the flow of the leading order ($\mathcal{O}(N^2)$) correction to the conformal anomaly can be explained from the gravity side via the fact that the central charge is inversely proportional to the volume of X_5.

As in section 2, we would like to study $1/N$ corrections to the $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ anomaly. The above model has no such corrections; to obtain models that do, we will consider orientifolds of the conifold model.

We start by describing the introduction of orientifold planes on the type IIA side, as that provides a more intuitive understanding. The field theory corresponding to the conifold singularity arises on the IIA side from an elliptic model with two NS5-branes rotated 90 degrees with respect to one another [21]. Such a configuration can be obtained from the IIA model with two parallel NS5-branes (which corresponds to the type IIB $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold model) by rotating the NS5-branes. This is the type IIA analog of perturbation of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold model to obtain the conifold model.

One can introduce orientifold (O6) planes into the IIA analog of the type IIB $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold. There are four such models, which are described in sec. 2.1 (see *e.g.* [22] for a more detailed discussion). Two of these models correspond to placing the O6-planes away from the two NS5 branes along the compact direction and the other two correspond to placing one NS5-brane on top of each O6-plane. The first two models can be related to orientifolded conifold models [21] by rotating the NS5-branes 45 degrees in opposite directions (so that the angle between them becomes 90 degrees) while respecting the orientifold reflection[10]. The resulting gauge theories have the following gauge groups and $\mathcal{N}=1$ matter content

---

[10] This is not possible for the other two models since the rotation is not compatible with the orientifold reflection. The configuration with one of the two NS5-branes tilted 90 degrees is allowed, but is not continuously connected to the orbifold model via a rotation.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{(i)} & \mathrm{Sp}(v)\times\mathrm{Sp}(v)\,, & \text{with matter} \quad 2(\Box,\Box)\oplus 4(\Box,1)\oplus 4(1,\Box)\,, \\ \text{(ii)} & \mathrm{Sp}(v)\times\mathrm{SO}(v+2)\,, & \text{with matter} \quad 2(\Box,\Box)\,. \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

Since the first model has eight D6-branes parallel to the orientifold planes, the type IIB version of this model is a possible candidate for a theory with D7-branes and $1/N$ corrections to the $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ anomaly. However, in general it is not known how to T-dualize type IIA models based on non-orbifold singularities[11].

Instead, we will assume that the orientifolded conifold model can be obtained by a perturbation of the model corresponding to D3-branes at an orientifolded $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold singularity. The orientifolded $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold field theory is an $\mathcal{N}=2$ conformal field theory of type (I.ii) from sec. 2.1, with gauge group $\mathrm{Sp}(v)\times\mathrm{Sp}(v)$, and whose matter content (in $\mathcal{N}=1$ language) consists of chiral multiplets in the representations $(\mathrm{adj},1)\oplus(1,\mathrm{adj})\oplus 2(\Box,\Box)\oplus 4(\Box,1)\oplus 4(1,\Box)$. We further assume that, on the field theory side, the perturbation corresponds to a renormalization group flow from the orientifolded $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold field theory in the UV to the orientifolded conifold field theory with field content (3.4i) in the IR. It seems likely that this will work in an analogous way to the unorientifolded case, with the RG flow realized by integrating out the adjoint matter fields, but there are cases for which the method of integrating out adjoint chiral superfields gives the correct matter content but an incorrect superpotential (see *e.g.* the disussion in [23]). Therefore, we will make no assumptions about the exact form of the superpotential or whether the RG flow can be realized by integrating out adjoint chiral multiplets. We will, however, assume that the anomalous dimensions of the fields are the same as those that would result from such a procedure.

In the following, we will need the action of the orientifold on the coordinates of T^{11}. As noted in [23] it is usually possible to deduce the orientifold action in the type IIB model from its IIA analog, without going through the complete construction. On the type IIA side, the rotation of the branes is easily incorporated into the equation for the orbifold[12] as $xy=(\tilde{w}\cos\alpha_1+\tilde{z}\sin\alpha_1)(\tilde{w}\cos\alpha_2+\tilde{z}\sin\alpha_2)$. For the $\mathrm{Sp}(v)\times\mathrm{Sp}(v)$ orientifolded $\mathbb{Z}_2$-orbifold, the orientifold acts on the invariant coordinates as $\tilde{z}\to-\tilde{z}$, with the other coordinates unaffected. This action is compatible with the rotation only if $\alpha_1=-\alpha_2$. In particular, for $\alpha_1=-\alpha_2=\pi/4$ we find $xy=wz$ where $w=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{w}+\tilde{z})$ and $z=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{w}-\tilde{z})$. The inherited orientifold action on these variables is $w\leftrightarrow z$, with x,y invariant. In terms of the angles in the parameterization (3.1) the action is $\theta\leftrightarrow\theta'$ and $\varphi\leftrightarrow\varphi'$. The fixed point set of this action is a three-dimensional subspace of T^{11}, which we will denote X_3, defined by the equations $\theta=\theta'$, $\varphi=\varphi'$. The metric on X_3 is

$$\mathrm{d}s^2=\tfrac{1}{9}(\mathrm{d}\psi+2\cos\theta\mathrm{d}\varphi)^2+\tfrac{1}{3}(\mathrm{d}\theta^2+\sin^2\theta\mathrm{d}\varphi^2)\,, \tag{3.5}$$

---

[11] Another approach based on blowing up orbifold singularities was pursued in [23], where some type IIB orientifolds of the conifold were constructed, though not the particular models we are interested in. Although it should be possible to generalize the analysis of [23] to incorporate the models we study in this paper, we will not attempt to do so here.

[12] Recall that the invariant coordinates for the $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{C}$ orbifold are x, y, $\tilde{w}$ and $\tilde{z}$, where $xy=\tilde{w}^2$.

where the ranges of the variables are $\theta \in [0, \pi)$, $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$, and $\psi \in [0, 4\pi)$. The determinant of this metric is

$$\sqrt{G_3} = \tfrac{1}{9} \sin\theta \,, \tag{3.6}$$

and the volume of X_3 is $16\pi^2/9$.

We need not consider the orientifold action of the Sp(v)×SO($v+2$) model, since that model has no D7-branes.

3.2 Field theory analysis

In this section we will calculate the anomaly in the U$(1)_R$ current in the $\mathcal{N}=1$ field theories corresponding to D3-branes at an orientifolded conifold singularity described in sec. 3.1. The $\mathcal{N}=1$ R-charge assignments are as follows: the fermions in each $\mathcal{N}=1$ vector multiplet have R-charge $+1$, whereas the fermions (resp. bosons) in each $\mathcal{N}=1$ chiral multiplet have R-charge $-\frac{1}{3}$ (resp. $\frac{2}{3}$). (The $\mathcal{N}=2$ $\mathcal{R}$-charge assignments of sec. 2 are related to the $\mathcal{N}=1$ R-charge assignments via $\mathcal{R} = 3R - 4J_z$, where J_z is the z-component of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU$(2)_R$ symmetry.)

Anomalies in orbifold theories can easily be calculated using methods of sec. 2. We are interested in how the anomaly changes under the RG flow to the IR fixed point. Although the R-current is not invariant under the RG flow, the following combination

$$S_\mu = R_\mu + \frac{2}{3}\sum_i (\gamma^i_{\rm IR} - \gamma^i) K^i_\mu \tag{3.7}$$

of the R-current and the Konishi current $K^i_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\bar\psi_i\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\psi_i - \bar\phi_i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu \phi_i$ is invariant [24, 8, 2], where the sum in (3.7) runs over the $\mathcal{N}=1$ chiral multiplets. Here $\gamma_{\rm IR} = -\frac{1}{4}$ for the $\mathcal{N}=1$ chiral superfields in the $\mathcal{N}=2$ hypermultiplets and $\gamma_{\rm IR} = \frac{1}{2}$ for the $\mathcal{N}=1$ chiral superfield in the $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplet. Thus, to calculate the anomalies of the R-current in the IR fixed point theory, one uses that $S_\mu = R_\mu$ at the IR fixed point, and that anomalies involving S_μ are invariant under the RG flow, so that one can calculate the anomaly of S_μ in the UV fixed point theory

$$\langle \partial_\mu(\sqrt{g} R^\mu)\rangle_{\rm IR} = \langle \partial_\mu(\sqrt{g} S^\mu)\rangle_{\rm IR} = \langle \partial_\mu(\sqrt{g} S^\mu)\rangle_{\rm UV}. \tag{3.8}$$

The S-charges in the UV (where $\gamma = 0$) are: $+1$ for fermions in $\mathcal{N}=1$ vector multiplets, $-\frac{1}{2}$ for fermions in $\mathcal{N}=1$ chiral multiplets coming from $\mathcal{N}=2$ hypermultiplets, and 0 for fermions in $\mathcal{N}=1$ chiral multiplets coming from $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplets. Thus, the latter do not contribute to the anomaly triangle diagrams, as is required for consistency, since they are integrated out.

In the ultraviolet, the Sp(v)×Sp(v) model has $v(v+1)$ vector multiplets, $2v^2+8v$ chiral multiplets coming from $\mathcal{N}=2$ hypermultiplets, and $v(v+1)$ chiral multiplets coming from $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplets. Using the S-charges above, we find that the gravitational contribution to the U$(1)_R$ anomaly for the Sp(v)×Sp(v) model is

$$\langle \partial_\mu(\sqrt{g} R^\mu)\rangle_{\rm grav} = -\frac{3v}{384\pi^2} R\tilde{R}\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Since we have v flavors in each factor of the SO(4)×SO(4) flavor group of the UV theory, the flavor contribution to the anomaly is

$$\langle \partial_\mu(\sqrt{g}R^\mu)\rangle_{\text{flavor}} = (\tfrac{1}{2})\frac{v}{16\pi^2}F\tilde{F}\,, \tag{3.10}$$

where we used the relations (3.8) and the $\frac{1}{2}$ comes from the change in charges compared to section 2. The total anomaly is therefore

$$\langle \partial_\mu(\sqrt{g}R^\mu)\rangle_{\text{total}} = -\frac{v}{128\pi^2}(R\tilde{R} - 4F\tilde{F})\,. \tag{3.11}$$

For the Sp(v)×SO($v+2$) model we have v^2+2v+1 vector multiplets coming from the $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplets, $2v^2+4v$ chiral multiplets coming from $\mathcal{N}=2$ hypermultiplets and (in the UV) v^2+2v+1 chiral multiplets coming from $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplets. Using the above method, one finds that the $\mathcal{O}(N)$ correction to the $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ anomaly vanishes. This is required for consistency of our picture, since there are no D7-branes or orientifold planes present in the dual string theory.

3.3 Supergravity analysis

We now turn to the calculation of the anomaly in the supergravity dual. As in section 2 the relevant terms from the Wess-Zumino terms in the worldvolume action of the D7-branes and O7-plane are

$$\frac{1}{2^9\pi^5\alpha'^2}\int C_4\wedge[\mathrm{tr}(R\wedge R)+4\,\mathrm{tr}(F\wedge F)]\,. \tag{3.12}$$

As before, we rescale the field $C_4 \to 4L^4 g_{\mathrm{s}}^{-1}C_4$, where L is given by (2.17), to agree with AdS/CFT conventions. From the discussion in section 2, we recall that L is unchanged when dividing the space by a discrete group, so we have [8]

$$L^4_{T^{11}} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\kappa v}{2\mathrm{Vol}(T^{11})} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3\frac{\kappa v}{(2\pi)^2\sqrt{\pi}}\,. \tag{3.13}$$

Using $2\kappa^2 = (2\pi)^7 g_{\mathrm{s}}^2\alpha'^4$, the required rescaling becomes $C_4 \to 3^3\pi\alpha'^2 v C_4$, upon which eq. (3.12) becomes

$$\frac{3^3 v}{2^9\pi^4}\int C_4\wedge[\mathrm{tr}(R\wedge R)+4\,\mathrm{tr}(F\wedge F)]\,. \tag{3.14}$$

The world volume of the D7-branes is $AdS_5\times X_3$.

To reduce the action (3.14) to five dimensions, we need to expand C_4 in terms of vector harmonics on $T^{11}/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Since we are interested in the massless vector corresponding to the $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ symmetry, we will only keep this mode when reducing. As in section 2 the massless vector arises from the fields (2.21). The KK reduction on T^{11} has been worked out in detail in refs. [25, 8, 26]. In appendix B, we apply these results to find the vector harmonic Y_a corresponding to the $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ massless vector field. Since this vector harmonic is invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orientifold operation it is also present in $T^{11}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ model. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\mu abc} &= \phi_\mu \sqrt{G} \epsilon_{abc}{}^{de} D_d Y_e \\
&= \phi_\mu \sqrt{G} \left[\epsilon_{abc\theta\varphi} G^{\theta\theta} G^{\varphi\varphi} (\partial_\theta Y_\varphi - \partial_\varphi Y_\theta) + \epsilon_{abc\theta'\varphi'} G^{\theta'\theta'} G^{\varphi'\varphi'} (\partial_{\theta'} Y_{\varphi'} - \partial_{\varphi'} Y_{\theta'}) \right] \\
&= \tfrac{1}{9} \phi_\mu \left(\epsilon_{abc\theta\varphi} \sin\theta + \epsilon_{abc\theta'\varphi'} \sin\theta' \right) \,, \qquad (3.15)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the T^{11} metric (3.2), its determinant (3.3) and the form of Y_a (B.13), and have only kept the terms that give a non-zero contribution upon restricting to X_3. Restricting C_4 to X_3 — the fixed point set $\theta = \theta'$, $\varphi = \varphi'$ of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orientifold action — we find

$$C_{\mu abc} = 2\phi_\mu \omega_{abc} \,, \qquad (3.16)$$

where $\omega_{abc} = \sqrt{G_3}\epsilon_{abc}$ is the volume form on X_3, with $\sqrt{G_3}$ given by eq. (3.6).

In appendix B, we show that $A^+_\mu = B_\mu - 16\phi_\mu$ is the massless linear combination proportional to the $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ gauge field

$$A^+_\mu = -24\tilde{\eta} A^R_\mu \,, \qquad (3.17)$$

where A^R_μ is the $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ gauge field canonically coupled to the R-current. Setting $V^+_\mu = B_\mu + 8\phi_\mu = 0$ we obtain

$$\phi_\mu = \tilde{\eta} A^R_\mu . \qquad (3.18)$$

Using (3.16) and (3.18) in (3.14), and integrating over X_3, with $\mathrm{Vol}(X_3) = 16\pi^2/9$, we find

$$\tilde{\eta} \frac{3v}{16\pi^2} \int_{\mathrm{AdS}_5} A^R \wedge \left[\mathrm{tr}(R \wedge R) + 4\, \mathrm{tr}(F \wedge F) \right] . \qquad (3.19)$$

As in section 2, the non-invariance of this action under a $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ gauge transformation implies an anomaly

$$\langle \partial_\mu (\sqrt{g} R^\mu) \rangle_{\mathrm{total}} = -\tilde{\eta} \frac{3v}{32\pi^2} (R\tilde{R} - 4\, F\tilde{F}) \,. \qquad (3.20)$$

To match the field theory result (3.11), we must show that $\tilde{\eta} = \frac{1}{12}$.

Before determining $\tilde{\eta}$, however, we will check that the relative normalization between the two terms in eq. (3.20) works out correctly, proceeding as in section 2. The dimensional reduction of the gauge field kinetic term in the D7-brane Born-Infeld action gives

$$\lambda \mu_7 e^{-\Phi} (2\pi\alpha')^2 L^4 \mathrm{Vol}(X_3) \int \mathrm{d}^5 x \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{-g}\, F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{4 g_{\mathrm{F}}^2} \int \mathrm{d}^5 x \sqrt{-g}\, F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\mu\nu} \,. \qquad (3.21)$$

This differs from the analysis in section 2 only in the change in L^4, and in the volume of the intersection of the D7-brane worldvolume with T^{11}, which we called X_3 above. Using (3.13) and $\mathrm{Vol}(X_3) = 16\pi^2/9$, we find $1/g_F^2 = 3\lambda v/16\pi^2$.

As in section 2 we now compare two different expressions for the correlator of two flavor currents. From the AdS/CFT correspondence we find

$$\langle J^a_\mu(x) J^b_\nu(0) \rangle = \frac{\delta^{ab}}{2\pi^2 g_{\mathrm{F}}^2} (\eta_{\mu\nu} \Box - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu) \frac{1}{x^4} = \frac{3v}{2(2\pi)^4} \lambda \delta^{ab} (\eta_{\mu\nu} \Box - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu) \frac{1}{x^4} \,. \qquad (3.22)$$

The determination of the same correlator in the field theory is more subtle. In general, this correlator has the form [24]

$$\langle J_\mu^a(x) J_\nu^b(0)\rangle = \frac{b}{(2\pi)^4}\mathrm{tr}(t^a t^b)(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\frac{1}{x^4}. \tag{3.23}$$

In the ultraviolet theory, $b_{\mathrm{UV}} = v$, but we must find the value b_{IR} to which this flows in the infrared. An exact non-perturbative equation $b_{\mathrm{IR}} = (1-\gamma_{\mathrm{IR}})b_{\mathrm{UV}}$ was derived in [24], where γ_{IR} is twice the anomalous dimension of the bifundamentals. Using $\gamma_{\mathrm{IR}} = -\frac{1}{2}$ (see *e.g.* [8]) yields $b_{\mathrm{IR}} = \frac{3}{2}v$, and therefore

$$\langle J_\mu^a(x) J_\nu^b(0)\rangle = \frac{3v}{2(2\pi)^4}\mathrm{tr}(t^a t^b)(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\frac{1}{x^4}. \tag{3.24}$$

A comparison of (3.22) and (3.24) shows that the field theory and string theory normalizations of $\mathrm{tr}(t^a t^b)$ coincide.

The final step is to determine the parameter $\tilde{\eta}$. The supergravity action for the $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ vector mode is obtained from eq. (B.10) with $x = 1$ together with eq. (B.12),

$$S = \frac{L^8}{2\kappa^2}\int_{T^{11}/\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathrm{d}^5 y\sqrt{G}\int_{AdS_5}\mathrm{d}^5 x\sqrt{-g}\,\frac{1}{3}\left[-\frac{1}{4}F(A^+)^2\right] \equiv -\frac{1}{4g_{\mathrm{SG}}^2}\int \mathrm{d}^5 x\sqrt{-g}\,F(A^R)^2\,. \tag{3.25}$$

Using (3.13) and (3.17) together with $\mathrm{Vol}(T^{11}/\mathbb{Z}_2) = 8\pi^3/27$, we find $1/g_{\mathrm{SG}}^2 = 3^4 v^2\tilde{\eta}^2/4\pi^2$.

Next, we compare two different calculations of the correlator of two R-currents. From the AdS/CFT correspondence, we have [15]

$$\langle R_\mu(x)R_\nu(0)\rangle = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 g_{\mathrm{SG}}^2}(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\frac{1}{x^4} = -\frac{3^4\tilde{\eta}^2 v^2}{2^3\pi^4}(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\frac{1}{x^4}\,. \tag{3.26}$$

The field theory result for the same correlator is [17]

$$\langle R_\mu(x)R_\nu(0)\rangle = -\frac{c}{3\pi^4}(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\frac{1}{x^4}\,, \tag{3.27}$$

where c is the central charge, related to the gravitational trace anomaly. For the ultraviolet theory, with $v(v+1)$ vector multiplets and $3v^2 + 9v$ chiral multiplets, one may use the free field theory result [17] $c_{\mathrm{UV}} = \frac{1}{8}N_{\mathrm{v}} + \frac{1}{24}N_\chi$ to find $c_{\mathrm{UV}} = \frac{1}{4}v^2$ to leading order in N. The RG flow of the central charge satisfies [8, 24] $c_{\mathrm{IR}}/c_{\mathrm{UV}} = \mathrm{Vol}(S^5/[\mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{Z}_2])/\mathrm{Vol}(T^{11}/\mathbb{Z}_2) = 27/32$, thus in the IR fixed point theory, one has

$$\langle R_\mu(x)R_\nu(0)\rangle = -\frac{3^2 v^2}{2^7\pi^4}(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\frac{1}{x^4}\,, \tag{3.28}$$

to leading order. Comparing the results (3.26) and (3.28), we find $\tilde{\eta} = \frac{1}{12}$.

4 Summary

In this paper we considered the $1/N$ correction to the U(1) R-current anomaly of a broad class of models as tests of the AdS/CFT correspondence for string theory on $AdS_5 \times X_5$, where either $X_5 = S^5/G$ (where G is the orientifold group), or $X_5 = T^{11}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ (which arises from an orientifold of the conifold). Whenever D7-branes and O7-planes are present, the $1/N$ correction to the anomaly computed from the superconformal field theory agrees with that obtained from string theory. In models with no D7-branes or O7-planes, the $1/N$ corrections to the anomaly are absent as well. Our results give striking confirmation of the Maldacena proposal at order $1/N$.

It would be interesting to pursue other examples of $1/N$ corrections in the AdS/CFT correspondence, and to further understand the $1/N^2$ corrections (see [6] for a discussion of $1/N^2$ corrections to anomalies), which in general involve string loops.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Marc Grisaru for a useful discussion.

Appendices

A The field theory gravitational $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ anomaly

In this appendix, we calculate the gravitational $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ anomaly for the various classes of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ field theories considered in sec. 2 of this paper.

The models arising from IIA theories with a pair of $\mathrm{O6}^-$ planes consist of k NS5-branes on a circle, with v_j D4-branes stretching between adjacent NS5-branes, and w_j D6-branes located between adjacent NS5-branes. They fall into three classes [9]:

(I.i) $\mathrm{Sp}(v_0) \times \mathrm{SU}(v_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(v_P)$ with matter $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{P} (\square_{j-1}, \square_j) + \begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix}_P + \frac{1}{2} w_0 \square_0 + \bigoplus_{j=1}^{P} w_j \square_j$

For an odd number $k = 2P+1$ of NS5-branes, one of the NS5-branes necessarily intersects an $\mathrm{O6}^-$ plane. The index j ranges from $-P$ to P, and the orientifold symmetry constrains $v_{-j} = v_j$ and $w_{-j} = w_j$. The requirement that the beta-function vanish for each gauge group factor gives rise to the conditions (2.2) of ref. [9], which are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= 2v_0 - 2v_1 + 4, \\ w_j &= 2v_j - v_{j-1} - v_{j+1}, \qquad 1 \le j \le P-1 \\ w_P &= v_P - v_{P-1} + 2. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

The first P equations are solved to give

$$v_j = v_0 + 2j - \tfrac{1}{2} j w_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (j-i) w_i \tag{A.2}$$

and the last equation leads to the constraint

$$\sum_{j=-P}^{P} w_j = 8. \tag{A.3}$$

The coefficient of the gravitational anomaly is proportional to (see eq. (2.7))

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{Q}{2} &= \tfrac{1}{2}v_0(v_0+1) + \sum_{j=1}^{P}(v_j^2-1) - \sum_{j=1}^{P} v_{j-1}v_j - \tfrac{1}{2}v_P(v_P-1) + \delta_{P,0} - \tfrac{1}{2}w_0v_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{P} w_jv_j \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}v_0\left(v_0 - v_1 - w_0 + 1\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{P-1} v_j\left(2v_j - v_{j-1} - v_{j+1} - 2w_j\right) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}v_P\left(v_P - v_{P-1} - 2w_P + 1\right) - P + \delta_{P,0} \\
&= -\tfrac{1}{2}v_0 - \tfrac{1}{4}v_0w_0 - \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{P} v_jw_j - \tfrac{1}{2}v_P - P + \delta_{P,0}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

where in the last line we used eq. (A.2).

Consider this theory in the large N limit, where $N = \sum_j v_j$. Equation (A.2) implies that the v_j are equal, to $\mathcal{O}(N)$. We denote this common value by $v = N/k$. For a given model, k is fixed so that $k \ll N$ in the large N limit. The apparent $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ contribution to the anomaly has cancelled out, and the $\mathcal{O}(N)$ contribution is

$$\frac{Q}{2} \sim v(-1 - \tfrac{1}{4}w_0 - \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{P} w_j) = -3v \tag{A.5}$$

where we have used eq. (A.3).

(I.ii) $\mathrm{Sp}(v_0) \times \mathrm{SU}(v_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(v_{P-1}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(v_P)$ with matter $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{P}(\Box_{j-1}, \Box_j) + \frac{1}{2}w_0\Box_0 + \bigoplus_{j=1}^{P-1} w_j\Box_j + \frac{1}{2}w_P\Box_P$

In this class of theories, $k = 2P$, and none of the NS5-branes intersects an O6$^-$ plane. The index j ranges from $-P+1$ to P, and the orientifold symmetry constrains $v_{-j} = v_j$ and $w_{-j} = w_j$. The requirement that the beta-function vanish for each gauge group factor gives rise to the conditions (2.4) of ref. [9], which are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
w_0 &= 2v_0 - 2v_1 + 4, \\
w_j &= 2v_j - v_{j-1} - v_{j+1}, \qquad 1 \le j \le P-1 \\
w_P &= 2v_P - 2v_{P-1} + 4.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

The first P equations are solved to give

$$v_j = v_0 + 2j - \tfrac{1}{2}jw_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1}(j-i)w_i \tag{A.7}$$

and the last equation leads to the constraint

$$\sum_{j=-P+1}^{P} w_j = 8. \tag{A.8}$$

The coefficient of the gravitational anomaly is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{Q}{2} &= \tfrac{1}{2}v_0(v_0+1) + \sum_{j=1}^{P-1}(v_j^2-1) + \tfrac{1}{2}v_P(v_P+1) - \sum_{j=1}^{P} v_{j-1}v_j - \tfrac{1}{2}w_0v_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{P-1} w_jv_j - \tfrac{1}{2}w_Pv_P \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}v_0\left(v_0 - v_1 - w_0 + 1\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{P-1} v_j\left(2v_j - v_{j-1} - v_{j+1} - 2w_j\right) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}v_P\left(v_P - v_{P-1} - w_P + 1\right) - P + 1 \\
&= -\tfrac{1}{2}v_0 - \tfrac{1}{4}v_0w_0 - \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{P-1} v_jw_j - \tfrac{1}{2}v_P - \tfrac{1}{4}v_Pw_P - P + 1
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

where in the last line we used eq. (A.7). In the large N limit, the $\mathcal{O}(N)$ contribution to the anomaly is

$$\frac{Q}{2} \sim v(-1 - \tfrac{1}{4}w_0 - \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{P-1} w_j - \tfrac{1}{4}w_P) = -3v, \tag{A.10}$$

where we have used eq. (A.8).

(I.iii) $\mathrm{SU}(v_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(v_P)$ with matter $\begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix}_1 + \bigoplus_{j=2}^{P}(\square_{j-1},\square_j) + \begin{smallmatrix}\square\\\square\end{smallmatrix}_P + \bigoplus_{j=1}^{P} w_j\square_j$

In this class of theories, $k = 2P$, and two of the NS5-branes intersect an O6$^-$ plane. The index j ranges from 1 to $2P$, and the orientifold symmetry constrains $v_{2P+1-j} = v_j$ and $w_{2P+1-j} = w_j$. The requirement that the beta-function vanish for each gauge group factor gives rise to the conditions (2.6) of ref. [9], which are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1 &= v_1 - v_2 + 2, \\
w_j &= 2v_j - v_{j-1} - v_{j+1}, \qquad 2 \le j \le P-1 \\
w_P &= v_P - v_{P-1} + 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

The first $P-1$ equations are solved to give

$$v_j = v_1 + 2j - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1}(j-i)w_i \tag{A.12}$$

and the last equation leads to the constraint

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2P} w_j = 8. \tag{A.13}$$

The coefficient of the gravitational anomaly is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{Q}{2} &= \sum_{j=1}^{P}(v_j^2-1) - \tfrac{1}{2}v_1(v_1-1) - \sum_{j=2}^{P} v_{j-1}v_j - \tfrac{1}{2}v_P(v_P-1) - \sum_{j=1}^{P} w_j v_j \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}v_1\left(v_1 - v_2 - 2w_1 + 1\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=2}^{P-1} v_j\left(2v_j - v_{j-1} - v_{j+1} - 2w_j\right) \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}v_P\left(v_P - v_{P-1} - 2w_P + 1\right) - P \\ &= -\tfrac{1}{2}v_1 - \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{P} w_j v_j - \tfrac{1}{2}v_P - P \end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

where in the last line we used eq. (A.12). In the large N limit, the $\mathcal{O}(N)$ contribution to the anomaly is

$$\frac{Q}{2} \sim v(-1 - \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{P} w_j) = -3v \tag{A.15}$$

where we have used eq. (A.13).

The models arising from IIA theories with an O6$^+$ and an O6$^-$ plane consist of k NS5-branes on a circle, with v_j D4-branes stretching between adjacent NS5-branes. They fall into four classes [9]:

(II.i) $\mathrm{Sp}(v_0) \times \mathrm{SU}(v_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(v_P)$ with matter $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{P}(\square_{j-1}, \square_j) + \square\!\square_P$

In this class of theories, $k = 2P+1$, and one of the NS5-branes intersects an O6$^-$ plane. The index j ranges from $-P$ to P, and the orientifold symmetry constrains $v_{-j} = v_j$. The requirement that the beta-function vanish for each gauge group factor gives rise to the conditions (2.8) of ref. [9], which implies

$$v_j = v_0 + 2j. \tag{A.16}$$

The coefficient of the gravitational anomaly vanishes:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{Q}{2} &= \tfrac{1}{2}v_0(v_0+1) + \sum_{j=1}^{P}(v_j^2-1) - \sum_{j=1}^{P} v_{j-1}v_j - \tfrac{1}{2}v_P(v_P+1) \\ &= -P + \tfrac{1}{2}v_0\left(v_0 - v_1 + 1\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{P-1} v_j\left(2v_j - v_{j-1} - v_{j+1}\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}v_P\left(v_P - v_{P-1} - 1\right) \\ &= -P - \tfrac{1}{2}v_0 + \tfrac{1}{2}v_P = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

where we used eq. (A.16) in the last line.

(II.i′) $\mathrm{SO}(v_0) \times \mathrm{SU}(v_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(v_P)$ with matter $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{P}(\square_{j-1}, \square_j) + \begin{smallmatrix}\square\\[-2pt]\square\end{smallmatrix}_P$

In this class of theories, $k = 2P+1$, and one of the NS5-branes intersects an O6$^+$ plane. The index j ranges from $-P$ to P, and the orientifold symmetry constrains $v_{-j} = v_j$.

The requirement that the beta-function vanish for each gauge group factor gives rise to the conditions (2.10) of ref. [9], which implies

$$v_j = v_0 - 2j. \tag{A.18}$$

The coefficient of the gravitational anomaly vanishes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{Q}{2} &= \tfrac{1}{2}v_0(v_0-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{P}(v_j^2-1) - \sum_{j=1}^{P} v_{j-1}v_j - \tfrac{1}{2}v_P(v_P-1) \\
&= -P + \tfrac{1}{2}v_0\left(v_0 - v_1 - 1\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{P-1} v_j\left(2v_j - v_{j-1} - v_{j+1}\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}v_P\left(v_P - v_{P-1} + 1\right) \\
&= -P + \tfrac{1}{2}v_0 - \tfrac{1}{2}v_P = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{A.19}$$

where we used eq. (A.18) in the last line.

(II.ii) $\mathrm{SO}(v_0) \times \mathrm{SU}(v_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(v_{P-1}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(v_P)$ with matter $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{P}(\square_{j-1}, \square_j)$

In this class of theories, $k = 2P$, and none of the NS5-branes intersects either O6 plane. The index j ranges from $-P+1$ to P, and the orientifold symmetry constrains $v_{-j} = v_j$. The requirement that the beta-function vanish for each gauge group factor gives rise to the conditions (2.12) of ref. [9], which implies

$$v_j = v_0 - 2j. \tag{A.20}$$

The coefficient of the gravitational anomaly is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{Q}{2} &= \tfrac{1}{2}v_0(v_0-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{P-1}(v_j^2-1) + \tfrac{1}{2}v_P(v_P+1) - \sum_{j=1}^{P} v_{j-1}v_j \\
&= -(P-1) + \tfrac{1}{2}v_0\left(v_0 - v_1 - 1\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{P-1} v_j\left(2v_j - v_{j-1} - v_{j+1}\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}v_P\left(v_P - v_{P-1} + 1\right) \\
&= -P + 1 + \tfrac{1}{2}v_0 - \tfrac{1}{2}v_P = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

where we used eq. (A.20) in the last line. This obviously has no $\mathcal{O}(N)$ contribution.

(II.iii) $\mathrm{SU}(v_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(v_P)$ with matter $\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\square}{\square}_1 + \bigoplus_{j=2}^{P}(\square_{j-1}, \square_j) + \square\square_P$

In this class of theories, $k = 2P$, one of the NS5-branes intersects an O6$^-$ plane and one of the NS5-branes intersects an O6$^+$ plane. The index j ranges from 1 to $2P$, and the orientifold symmetry constrains $v_{2P+1-j} = v_j$ and $w_{2P+1-j} = w_j$. The requirement that the beta-function vanish for each gauge group factor gives rise to the conditions (2.14) of ref. [9], which implies

$$v_j = v_1 + 2(j-1). \tag{A.22}$$

The coefficient of the gravitational anomaly is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Q}{2} &= \sum_{j=1}^{P}(v_j^2-1)-\tfrac{1}{2}v_1(v_1-1)-\sum_{j=2}^{P}v_{j-1}v_j-\tfrac{1}{2}v_P(v_P+1) \\ &= -P+\tfrac{1}{2}v_1\left(v_1-v_2+1\right)+\tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=2}^{P-1}v_j\left(2v_j-v_{j-1}-v_{j+1}\right)+\tfrac{1}{2}v_P\left(v_P-v_{P-1}-1\right) \\ &= -P-\tfrac{1}{2}v_1+\tfrac{1}{2}v_P=-1 \end{aligned} \tag{A.23}$$

where we used eq. (A.22) in the last line. This obviously has no $\mathcal{O}(N)$ contribution.

B KK reduction on T^{11}

In this appendix, we discuss the KK reduction of some of the massless fields of IIB supergravity on T^{11}, following closely the approach in ref. [26]. The authors of that paper observed that by Fourier expanding all fields in the ψ coordinate, and transforming to the orthonormal tangent frame, the covariant derivatives in this frame acting on the Fourier-expanded fields are simply related to the orthonormal frame derivatives of $S^2 \times S^2$ in the presence of a magnetic monopole field on each sphere. The presence of the magnetic monopole field results from the fact that T^{11} is not a product manifold.

Before proceeding let us establish some conventions. The fünfbein $e_a{}^{\underline{a}}$ (where $a, b, \ldots$ label the coordinates of T^{11} and $\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \ldots$ label an orthonormal frame in the tangent space) is

$$e_a{}^{\underline{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3}\cos\theta & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\sin\theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3}\cos\theta' & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\sin\theta' \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (a = \psi,\, \theta,\, \varphi,\, \theta',\, \varphi') \tag{B.1}$$

and is related to the metric (3.2) by $g_{ab} = e_a{}^{\underline{a}}\delta_{\underline{ab}}e_b{}^{\underline{b}}$, where $\delta_{\underline{ab}}$ is the flat euclidean metric. The inverse fünfbein $e_{\underline{a}}{}^a$ obeys $e_{\underline{a}}{}^a e_a{}^{\underline{b}} = \delta_{\underline{a}}{}^{\underline{b}}$, $e_a{}^{\underline{a}}e_{\underline{a}}{}^b = \delta_a{}^b$, and $g^{ab} = e_{\underline{a}}{}^a\delta^{\underline{ab}}e_{\underline{b}}{}^b$.

The tangent frame derivative is given by $D_{\underline{a}} = e_{\underline{a}}{}^a D_a$. Acting on a scalar expanded in the ψ coordinate, $\sum_s \mathrm{e}^{is\psi}\Phi_s(\theta, \varphi, \theta', \varphi')$, one finds [26]

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\underline{5}}\Phi_s &= 3is\Phi_s\,, \\ D_{\underline{r}}\Phi_s &= (\partial_{\underline{r}} + e_{\underline{r}}{}^5\partial_5)\Phi_s = (\partial_{\underline{r}} + is\omega_{\underline{r}})\Phi_s = \nabla_{\underline{r}}\Phi_s\,, \qquad r = 1, 2\,, \\ D_{\underline{r'}}\Phi_s &= (\partial_{\underline{r'}} + e_{\underline{r'}}{}^5\partial_5)\Phi_s = (\partial_{\underline{r'}} + is\omega_{\underline{r'}})\Phi_s = \nabla_{\underline{r'}}\Phi_s\,, \quad r' = 1', 2'\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

Here we have labeled the coordinate ψ by 5, the coordinates (θ, φ) by $1, 2$ and the coordinates (θ', φ') by $1', 2'$. The orthonormal frame coordinates are similarly labeled $\underline{5}, \underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{1}', \underline{2}'$.

It is convenient to introduce the new basis $\nabla_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nabla_{\underline{1}} \mp i\nabla_{\underline{2}})$ and $\nabla_{\pm'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nabla_{\underline{1'}} \mp i\nabla_{\underline{2'}})$. It is important that $\omega_{\underline{r}} = -e_{\underline{r}}{}^5$ is precisely the connection in the $\underline{r}$ directions [26]. From this result one finds, for example, that when acting on the $\pm$ component of a vector, one can use the same derivative (∇) provided that one changes the monopole charge s to $s\pm 1$. We refer to [26] for further details.

First consider the Laplacian acting on a scalar field,

$$\Box\Phi = D^a D_a \Phi = \left[\nabla_{\underline{r}}\nabla_{\underline{r}} + \nabla_{\underline{r'}}\nabla_{\underline{r'}} - 9s^2\right]\Phi. \tag{B.3}$$

The eigenfunctions are [8, 26]

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{l,l'}_{s,m,m'} &= \mathrm{e}^{is\psi} Y^l_{s,m} Y^{l'}_{s,m'}\,, \\ \Box\Phi^{l,l'}_{s,m,m'} &= -H_0(l,l',s)\Phi^{l,l'}_{s,m,m'}\,, \\ H_0(l,l',s) &= 6[l(l+1)-s^2] + 6[l'(l'+1)-s^2] + 9s^2\,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

where the $Y^l_{s,m}$ are the monopole harmonics (see *e.g.* [27]).

Next we turn to the case of interest, namely the vector modes. The massless modes arise from the $g_{\mu a}$ component of the metric and the $C_{\mu abc}$ component of the four-form. We expand these fields as[13] $g_{\mu a} = B^i_\mu Y^i_a$ and $C_{\mu abc} = \phi^i_\mu \sqrt{G}\epsilon_{abc}{}^{de} D_d Y^i_e$, where $Y_a = e_a{}^{\underline{a}} Y_{\underline{a}}$, with $Y^i_{\underline{a}}$ the eigenvectors of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, $D_{\underline{a}} D_{\underline{a}} - 4$, in the T^{11} directions. The $Y^i_{\underline{a}}$ can be expanded in monopole harmonics on $S^2\times S^2$,

$$Y^i_{\underline{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} Y^i_{\underline{5}} \\ Y^i_+ \\ Y^i_- \\ Y^i_{+'} \\ Y^i_{-'} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{is\psi} Y^l_{s,m} Y^{l'}_{s,m'} \\ \mathrm{e}^{is\psi} Y^l_{s+1,m} Y^{l'}_{s,m'} \\ \mathrm{e}^{is\psi} Y^l_{s-1,m} Y^{l'}_{s,m'} \\ \mathrm{e}^{is\psi} Y^l_{s,m} Y^{l'}_{s+1,m'} \\ \mathrm{e}^{is\psi} Y^l_{s,m} Y^{l'}_{s-1,m'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.5}$$

The action of $\Box = D_{\underline{a}} D_{\underline{a}}$ on each of the components has been worked out in [26]; using these results we find

$$(\Box - 4)\begin{pmatrix} Y^i_{\underline{5}} \\ Y^i_+ \\ Y^i_- \\ Y^i_{+'} \\ Y^i_{-'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -H_0 - 8 & f(l,-s) & -f(l,s) & f(l',-s) & -f(l',s) \\ f(l,-s) & -H_0 + 6s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -f(l,s) & 0 & -H_0 - 6s & 0 & 0 \\ f(l',-s) & 0 & 0 & -H_0 + 6s & 0 \\ -f(l',s) & 0 & 0 & 0 & -H_0 - 6s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y^i_{\underline{5}} \\ Y^i_+ \\ Y^i_- \\ Y^i_{+'} \\ Y^i_{-'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= H_0(l,l',s) \;=\; 6[l(l+1) + l'(l'+1)] - 3s^2\,, \\ f(l,s) &= \sqrt{12(l+s)(l-s+1)}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

The components of $Y^i_{\underline{a}}$ are not independent; this means that we will find the eigenvalue of the scalar laplacian (B.4) among the eigenvalues of the above mass matrix [25]. Discarding this eigenvalue, the remaining eigenvalues of the mass matrix are [25]

$$-3 - H_0(l,l',s\pm 1)\,; \quad -H_0(l,l',s) - 4 \mp 2\sqrt{H_0(l,l',s) + 4}\,. \tag{B.8}$$

---

[13] There is also an additional contribution [25] to the expansion of $C_{\mu abc}$ which gives rise to the so called massless Betti vector, but that mode is not relevant to our discussion since it couples to the baryon number current.

We will need not only the mass eigenvalues, but also the action for the vector modes. The reduction of the supergravity action on S^5 was worked out in [16]. The reduction on T^{11} is similar; the only difference lies in the eigenvalues of the vector harmonics, $(\Box - 4)Y^i_{\underline{a}} = \lambda Y^i_{\underline{a}}$. Starting from eq. (3.16) of ref. [16], we can diagonalize the action by the change of basis

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu &= B_\mu - 4(1+\sqrt{1-\lambda})\phi_\mu \,, \\ V_\mu &= B_\mu - 4(1-\sqrt{1-\lambda})\phi_\mu \,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

We will concentrate on the eigenvalues $\lambda_\pm = -H_0 - 4 \mp 2\sqrt{H_0+4}$. Writing $\sqrt{H_0+4} = 2x$, so that $\lambda_\pm = -4(x^2 \pm x)$ and $\sqrt{1-\lambda_\pm} = 2(x \pm \frac{1}{2})$ (the choice of branch here involves no loss in generality), the reduced supergravity action for the field $A^\pm_\mu$ becomes

$$\frac{L^8}{2\kappa^2}\Big(\int \sqrt{G} G_{ab} Y^a Y^b\Big) \int \mathrm{d}^5x \sqrt{-g}\, \frac{(x-\frac{1}{2}\pm\frac{1}{2})}{2(x\pm\frac{1}{2})}\Big[-\tfrac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}(A^\pm)^2 - 2(x-1)(x-1\pm1)A^\pm_\mu A^{\pm\mu}\Big]\,. \tag{B.10}$$

Thus, the A^+_μ mode is massless when $x = 1$, corresponding to $H_0 = 0$, that is, $l = l' = s = 0$. This massless vector couples to the U$(1)_R$ current. (This axial vector sits in the gravity supermultiplet, together with two gravitini and a graviton, which is consistent with the fact that the U$(1)_R$ current sits in the same multiplet as the stress tensor which couples to the graviton. The A^-_μ mode is massless for $x = 2$, corresponding to $H_0 = 12$, that is, $l = 1, l' = s = 0$ or $l' = 1, l = s = 0$. These two massless SU(2) triplet vectors sit in vector supermultiplets and are associated with the two SU(2) factors of the isometry group.) The $V^\pm_\mu$ modes are massive.

Let us now concentrate on the mode corresponding to the U$(1)_R$ current. For $x = 1$, we have $\lambda_+ = -8$, so that equation (B.9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A^+_\mu &= B_\mu - 16\phi_\mu \,, \\ V^+_\mu &= B_\mu + 8\phi_\mu \,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

the same result as for the S^5 case (which follows from the fact that the eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator is the same).

Finally, we discuss the form of the vector harmonic for this mode. Setting $l = l' = s = 0$, the matrix (B.6) has only one non-zero entry, namely -8 in the upper left hand corner. The eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda = -8$ is $Y_{\underline{a}} = (Y_{\underline{5}}, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T$, where $Y_{\underline{5}}$ is independent of the angular variables for $l = l' = s = 0$. We will take $Y_{\underline{a}} = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T$. Hence, for this mode

$$\int \mathrm{d}^5y \sqrt{G} G_{ab} Y^a Y^b = \int \mathrm{d}^5y \sqrt{G} \delta_{\underline{ab}} Y_{\underline{a}} Y_{\underline{b}} = \int \mathrm{d}^5y \sqrt{G}. \tag{B.12}$$

Using the fünfbein (B.1), one then obtains the vector harmonic for the U$(1)_R$ mode

$$Y_a = e_a{}^{\underline{a}} Y_{\underline{a}} = (\tfrac{1}{3}, 0, \tfrac{1}{3}\cos\theta, 0, \tfrac{1}{3}\cos\theta')^T. \tag{B.13}$$

References

[1] J. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity." Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231–252, `hep-th/9711200`; S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory." Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 105–114, `hep-th/9802109`; E. Witten, "Anti-de Sitter space and holography." Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253, `hep-th/9802150`.

[2] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, "Large N field theories, string theory and gravity." Phys. Rep. **323** (2000) 183, `hep-th/9905111`.

[3] D. Anselmi and A. Kehagias, "Subleading corrections and central charges in the AdS/CFT correspondence." Phys. Lett. **B455** (1999) 155, `hep-th/9812092`.

[4] O. Aharony, J. Pawelczyk, S. Theisen, and S. Yankielowicz, "A note on anomalies in the AdS/CFT correspondence." Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 066001, `hep-th/9901134`.

[5] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, "On the conformal anomaly from higher derivative gravity in AdS/CFT correspondence." Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A15** (2000) 413, `hep-th/9903033`; M. Blau, K. S. Narain, and E. Gava, "On subleading contributions to the AdS/CFT trace anomaly." JHEP **09** (1999) 018, `hep-th/9904179`; S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, "Strong coupling limit of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT free energy and higher derivative AdS/CFT correspondence." Phys. Lett. **B471** (1999) 155, `hep-th/9908065`; S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, "Weyl anomaly from Weyl gravity." Mod. Phys. Lett. **A15** (2000) 1043, `hep-th/9910113`.

[6] A. Bilal and C.-S. Chu, "A note on the chiral anomaly in the AdS/CFT correspondence and $\frac{1}{N^2}$ correction." Nucl. Phys. **B562** (1999) 181, `hep-th/9907106`.

[7] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis, "The holographic Weyl anomaly." JHEP **07** (1998) 023, `hep-th/9806087`.

[8] S. S. Gubser, "Einstein manifolds and conformal field theories." Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 025006, `hep-th/9807164`.

[9] J. Park and A. M. Uranga, "A note on superconformal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories and orientifolds." Nucl. Phys. **B542** (1999) 139, `hep-th/9808161`.

[10] E. Witten, "Solutions of four-dimensional field theories via M-theory." Nucl. Phys. **B500** (1997) 3, `hep-th/9703166`.

[11] L. Alvarez-Gaume and E. Witten, "Gravitational anomalies." Nucl. Phys. **B234** (1984) 269.

[12] M. R. Douglas, "Branes within branes." `hep-th/9512077`; M. Li, "Boundary States of D-Branes and Dy-Strings." Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 351, `hep-th/9510161`; M. B. Green, J. A. Harvey, and G. Moore, "I-brane inflow and anomalous couplings on D-branes." Class. Quant. Grav. **14** (1997) 47, `hep-th/9605033`.

[13] K. Dasgupta, D. P. Jatkar, and S. Mukhi, "Gravitational couplings and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orientifolds." Nucl. Phys. **B523** (1998) 465, `hep-th/9707224`; B. Craps and F. Roose, "Anomalous D-brane and orientifold couplings from the boundary state." Phys. Lett. **B445** (1998) 150, `hep-th/9808074`; B. Stefanski, Jr., "Gravitational couplings of D-branes and O-planes." Nucl. Phys. **B548** (1999) 275, `hep-th/9812088`; J. F. Morales, C. A. Scrucca, and M. Serone, "Anomalous couplings for D-branes and O-planes." Nucl. Phys. **B552** (1999) 291, `hep-th/9812071`.

[14] H. J. Kim, L. J. Romans, and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, "The mass spectrum of chiral $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $d = 10$ supergravity on S^5." Phys. Rev. **D32** (1985) 389.

[15] D. Z. Freedman, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis, and L. Rastelli, "Correlation functions in the $\mathrm{CFT}_d/\mathrm{AdS}_{d+1}$ correspondence." Nucl. Phys. **B546** (1999) 96, `hep-th/9804058`.

[16] G. E. Arutyunov and S. A. Frolov, "Quadratic action for type IIB supergravity on $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times S_5$." JHEP **08** (1999) 024, `hep-th/9811106`.

[17] D. Anselmi, D. Z. Freedman, M. T. Grisaru, and A. A. Johansen, "Universality of the operator product expansions of SCFT_4." Phys. Lett. **B394** (1997) 329, `hep-th/9608125`.

[18] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, "Superconformal field theory on threebranes at a Calabi-Yau singularity." Nucl. Phys. **B536** (1998) 199, `hep-th/9807080`.

[19] P. Candelas and X. C. de la Ossa, "Comments on conifolds." Nucl. Phys. **B342** (1990) 246.

[20] S. Kachru and E. Silverstein, "4d conformal theories and strings on orbifolds." Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 4855, `hep-th/9802183`; A. Lawrence, N. Nekrasov, and C. Vafa, "On conformal field theories in four dimensions." Nucl. Phys. **B533** (1998) 199, `hep-th/9803015`.

[21] I. Brunner, A. Hanany, A. Karch, and D. Lüst, "Brane dynamics and chiral non-chiral transitions." Nucl. Phys. **B528** (1998) 197, `hep-th/9801017`; A. M. Uranga, "Brane configurations for branes at conifolds." JHEP **01** (1999) 022, `hep-th/9811004`; K. Dasgupta and S. Mukhi, "Brane constructions, conifolds and M-theory." Nucl. Phys. **B551** (1999) 204, `hep-th/9811139`.

[22] I. P. Ennes, C. Lozano, S. G. Naculich, and H. J. Schnitzer, "Elliptic models, type IIB orientifolds and the AdS/CFT correspondence." Nucl. Phys. **B591** (2000) 195, `hep-th/0006140`.

[23] J. Park, R. Rabadan, and A. M. Uranga, “Orientifolding the conifold.” Nucl. Phys. **B570** (2000) 38, `hep-th/9907086`.

[24] D. Anselmi, D. Z. Freedman, M. T. Grisaru, and A. A. Johansen, “Nonperturbative formulas for central functions of supersymmetric gauge theories.” Nucl. Phys. **B526** (1998) 543, `hep-th/9708042`.

[25] A. Ceresole, G. Dall’Agata, R. D’Auria, and S. Ferrara, “Spectrum of type IIB supergravity on $AdS_5 \times T^{11}$: Predictions on $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFT’s.” Phys. Rev. **D61** (2000) 066001, `hep-th/9905226`; A. Ceresole, G. Dall’Agata, and R. D’Auria, “KK spectroscopy of type IIB supergravity on $AdS_5 \times T^{11}$.” JHEP **11** (1999) 009, `hep-th/9907216`.

[26] D. P. Jatkar and S. Randjbar-Daemi, “Type IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times T^{nn'}$.” Phys. Lett. **B460** (1999) 281, `hep-th/9904187`.

[27] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, “Dirac monopole without strings: monopole harmonics.” Nucl. Phys. **B107** (1976) 365.